VC dimension with half guards

Erik Krohn^{*1} and Alex Pahlow^{†2}

¹University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh ²University of Wisconsin-Madison

Abstract

In this paper, we show that the VC dimension of half-guarding a terrain is exactly 2 or 3, depending on certain assumptions. We also show that the VC dimension of half-guarding a monotone polygon is exactly 4.

1 Introduction

A full guard is a guard that can see 360°. In our paper, we define a *half guard* as a guard that sees 180° and only sees to the right. VC dimension is a measure of the complexity of some set system. It has been studied by researchers for many variants of the art gallery problem. Guarding simple polygons with full guards has a VC dimension between 6 and 14 [1]. Guarding monotone polygons (simple polygons) with full guards where guards are limited to being on the boundary of the polygon was shown to be exactly 6 in both types of polygons [2, 3]. The structure half guards add to the art gallery problem is interesting because the difference, as compared to, full guards, is not trivial. For example, convex polygons have a VC dimension of 1 with half guards despite having a VC dimension of 0 with full guards. Monotone polygons, where all guards and viewpoints are located on the boundary, have a VC dimension of 4 with half guards despite having a VC dimension of 6 with full guards.

A set of guards G in P is *shattered* if for every $G_s \subseteq G$, there exists a point that is seen by the guards in G_s and by no guards in $G \setminus G_s$. With half guarding, we show that the VC dimension is exactly 4. The terrain guarding problem with full guards has a VC dimension of exactly 4 [4]. With half guarding, we show that the VC dimension is exactly 2 or 3, depending on certain assumptions.

Notation: Let p < q mean that point p is to the left of q, i.e. the x coordinate of p.x < q.x. With half guarding a polygon (resp. terrain), a point p sees a point q if the line segment connecting p and q does not go outside of the polygon (resp. below the terrain) and $p.x \leq q.x$. Let p and q be two points such

that p.x < q.x, then [p,q) denotes every point in the polygon between p and q (including the vertical line containing p but excluding the vertical line containing q). Let l be the leftmost point of the polygon and let r be the rightmost point of the polygon. The *ceiling* (resp. floor) denotes every boundary point in [l, r] as we travel clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) from l to r. We define *viewpoint* as a point that is exactly seen by a subset of the guards. For example, the viewpoint vp(AC) is a point in the polygon that is seen by guards A and C but is not seen by any other guards.

2 VC dimension of terrains

We start by discussing the VC dimension of terrains with regards to half guards. The VC dimension of a terrain with regards to half guards depends on if a point on the terrain can be considered both a guard and a viewpoint. If guards and viewpoints must be disjoint, then the VC dimension is 2. If a point on the terrain can be both a guard and a viewpoint, then the VC dimension is 3. Figure 1 shows an example of a terrain being shattered with 2 guards. We use the standard *order claim* without proof.

Claim: Let A, B, C, D be 4 points on a terrain with A.x < B.x < C.x < D.x. If A sees C and B sees D, then A must see D.



Figure 1: A terrain shattered by 2 half guards.

Theorem 1 If a terrain guarding problem does (resp. does not) allow a guard and a viewpoint to be the same point, then the VC dimension of a terrain is exactly 3 (resp. 2).

^{*}Email: krohne@uwosh.edu

[†]Email: apahlow22@alumni.uwosh.edu

Proof. We will first consider the case where guards and viewpoints cannot be located at the same point. Let A, B and C be guards such that A.x < B.x < C.x. Assuming that a guard and viewpoint cannot be the same point, the viewpoints that are seen by C must be strictly to the right of C. It follows that B.x < C.x < vp(BC).x and B.x < C.x < vp(AC).x. If vp(BC).x < vp(AC).x, then we have B.x < C.x < vp(AC).x. If vp(BC).x < vp(AC).x. By the order claim, B sees vp(AC), a contradiction. If vp(AC).x < vp(BC).x, then A.x < B.x < vp(AC).x < vp(BC).x. By the order claim, A sees vp(BC), a contradiction.

Next we consider the VC dimension of terrains where a guard and a viewpoint can be at the same point. In this case, the VC dimension is 3. We achieve a lower bound of 3 by giving an example of a terrain shattering 3 guards in Figure 2.



Figure 2: A terrain shattered by 3 half guards. In this example, C and vp(BC) are the same point.

We will show that it is impossible for such a terrain to have a VC dimension of 4. Let A, B, C, D be the guards of this polygon with $A.x \leq B.x \leq C.x \leq D.x$. Consider the following cases:

1. If the viewpoint vp(AC).x < vp(BD), then A.x < B.x < vp(AC).x < vp(BD).x. By the order claim using A, B, vp(AC), vp(BD), A sees vp(BD).

2. If the viewpoint vp(BD).x < vp(AC), then B.x < C.x < vp(BD).x < vp(AC).x. By the order claim using B, C, vp(BD), vp(AC), B sees vp(AC).

3 VC dimension of monotone polygons

We show that the VC dimension of half guarding a monotone polygon is exactly 4. We obtain the lower bound for monotone polygons by giving an example of a monotone polygon being shattered by 4 guards as seen in Figure 3. We now show that the 5 guards cannot be shattered with a case analysis. A few cases are shown in the paper with the remaining ones omitted due to lack of space. We use the following lemma:



Figure 3: Polygon shattered by 4 half guards.



Figure 4: Lemma 2 where s, t and u are on the ceiling.

Lemma 2 Let s < t < u < v where s, t, u are on the same side of the polygon, s sees u, t sees v, and s does not see v. The opposite side of the polygon must block s from seeing v.

Proof. W.l.o.g., assume s, t and u are on the ceiling. If a point p' on the ceiling is used to block s from v such that s.x < p'.x < u.x, then s is blocked from u. If a point p' on the ceiling is used to block s from v such that t.x < p'.x < v.x, then t is blocked from v. If the ceiling wraps underneath v to block s from v, then the polygon is not monotone. Therefore, if s does not see v, the floor must block it.



Figure 5: Lemma 3 where p is on the ceiling and the floor blocks p from q.

Lemma 3 Let p and q be two points in the polygon and let p < q. If p is blocked from q using the side opposite p, then no point in [l, p] can see q.

Proof. W.l.o.g, assume that p is on the ceiling and the floor is blocking p from q. Let o be some point to the left of p. The \overrightarrow{oq} ray lies in between the \overrightarrow{pq} ray

and the floor. If this were not the case, then p would have blocked o from q. If the floor blocks p from q, the \overrightarrow{oq} ray must also go through the floor and therefore, qmust also be blocked from o.

Lemma 4 Let s < t < u, where t and u are on opposite sides of the polygon, s sees u, and t does not see u. It must be that t cannot see any point in [u, r].

Proof. Assume, w.l.o.g., that t is on the floor. Note that t cannot be blocked from u using the ceiling since by Lemma 3, s would not see u. Thus, t must be blocked from u using the floor. Let v denote some point to the right of u. If the tv line crosses above u, then the ceiling will block t from v. If the tv line crosses below u, then the floor will block t from v since the floor is blocking t from u.

Corollary 4.1 Let t < u, t is on the floor (resp. ceiling), u is on the ceiling (resp. floor), and the floor is blocking t from seeing u. It must be that t cannot see any point in [u, r].



Figure 6: Visualization of Lemma 4.

We obtain an upper bound of 4 by showing that it is impossible to shatter 5 half guards in a monotone polygon. The upper bound proof is obtained by breaking the problem up into different cases. Unfortunately, every viewpoint, when considered by itself without placing any other viewpoints, can be placed when there are 5 guards. However, depending on the location of the guards, certain viewpoint combinations are impossible. We provide a few cases below. Consider a monotone polygon with 5 guards: $\{A, B, C, D, E\}$ such that $A.x \leq B.x \leq C.x \leq D.x \leq E.x$.

Case 1: Let $\{A, C\}$ be on the floor (resp. ceiling) and $\{B, D\}$ be on the opposite side. The position of E does not matter (with respect to the ceiling or floor). We show that it is impossible to place the points vp(BCE) and vp(ADE). Note that vp(BCE)and vp(ADE) must be to the right of, or on the same vertical line, as E.

Case 1a: If vp(BCE) is on the ceiling to the left of vp(ADE), or on same line as vp(ADE), then consider how *B* must be blocked from vp(ADE). The *B* guard cannot be blocked from vp(ADE) using the ceiling because of Lemma 2 where s = B, t = D, u = vp(BCE)

and v = vp(ADE). The floor must then be used to block *B* from vp(ADE). By Lemma 3, using o = A, p = B, q = vp(ADE), the *A* guard would not be able to see vp(ADE). Therefore, *B* cannot be blocked from vp(ADE). This case is illustrated in Figure 7.

Case 1b: If vp(ADE) is on the ceiling to the left of vp(BCE), or on same line, then consider how C is blocked from seeing vp(ADE). This case is illustrated in Figure 8. Similar to the previous argument, if C is blocked from seeing vp(ADE) using the floor, then by Lemma 4 using s = A, t = C, u = vp(ADE), v =vp(BCE), C cannot see vp(BCE). If the ceiling blocks C from seeing vp(ADE), then by Lemma 3 using o = A, p = C, q = vp(ADE), A is blocked from seeing vp(ADE).



Figure 7: Visualization of Case 1a.



Figure 8: Visualization of Case 1b.

Case 1c: If vp(BCE) is on floor to the left of vp(ADE), or on same line as vp(ADE), then consider how D must be blocked from vp(BCE). If the ceiling blocks D from seeing vp(BCE), then D does not see vp(ADE) by Corollary 4.1 when t = D, u = vp(BCE), v = vp(ADE). If the floor blocks D from seeing vp(BCE), then by Lemma 3 with o = C, p = D, q = vp(BCE), C cannot see vp(BCE).

Case 1d: If vp(ADE) is on floor to the left of vp(BCE), or on same line as vp(ADE), then consider how *B* is blocked from vp(ADE). If the floor blocks *B* from vp(ADE), then by Lemma 3 with o = A, p = B, q = vp(ADE), *A* does not see vp(ADE). If the ceiling blocks *B* from vp(ADE), then by Corollary 4.1 with t = B, u = vp(ADE), v = vp(BCE), B does not

see vp(BCE).

Therefore, $\{A, C\}$ and $\{B, D\}$ cannot be on opposite sides of the polygon. We provide 1 more case.

Case 2: In this case, $\{A, E\}$ are on the floor (resp. ceiling) and $\{B, C, D\}$ are on the opposite side. In this case, it is impossible to place both vp(BDE) and vp(ACD).

Case 2a: The viewpoint vp(ACD) is on the ceiling to the left of vp(BDE) or on same line as vp(BDE). We consider how C is blocked from vp(BDE). We can't block C from vp(BDE) with the ceiling by Lemma 2, where s = C, t = D, u = vp(ACD), v =vp(BDE). If we try to block C from vp(BDE) using the floor, we end up blocking B from vp(BDE) by Lemma 3 with o = B, p = C, q = vp(BDE)).





Case 2b: The viewpoint vp(BDE) is on the ceiling to the left of vp(ACD), or on same line as vp(ACD). By Lemma 2 with s = B, t = C, u = vp(BDE), v =vp(ACD), we must use the floor to block B from vp(ACD). However, if we use the floor to block B from vp(ACD), then by Lemma 3 with o = A, p =B, q = vp(ACD), the A guard is blocked from seeing vp(ACD).

Case 2c: The viewpoint vp(ACD) on floor to the left of vp(BDE). In this case, we consider how B is blocked from vp(ACD). If the ceiling blocks B from vp(ACD), then by Corollary 4.1 with t = B, u = vp(ACD), v = vp(BDE), B does not see vp(BDE). If the floor blocks B from vp(ACD), then by Lemma 3 with o = A, p = B, q = vp(ACD), the A guard does not see vp(ACD).



Figure 10: Visualization of Case 2c.

Case 2d: The viewpoint vp(BDE) is on floor to the left of vp(ACD). In this case, consider how C is blocked from seeing vp(BDE). If the floor blocks Cfrom seeing vp(BDE), then by Lemma 3 with o =B, p = C, q = vp(BDE), B would not see vp(BDE). If the ceiling blocks C from vp(BDE), then by Corollary 4.1 with t = C, u = vp(BDE), v = vp(ACD), Cwould not see vp(ACD).

These cases are just a few examples of how to show the VC dimension of a monotone polygon with half guards is exactly 4. The $2^5 = 32$ cases that we consider are the following: $\{A, C\}$ are on the same side and $\{B, D\}$ are on the opposite side (4 cases), $\{A, E\}$ are on some side and $\{B, C, D\}$ are on the opposite side (2 cases), $\{C, E\}$ are on the same side and $\{A, B, D\}$ are on the opposite side (2 cases), there are any 4 guards that are on the same side (12 cases), $\{A, B\}$ are on the same side and $\{C, D\}$ are on the opposite side (4 cases), $\{A, D\}$ are on the same side and $\{B, C\}$ are on the opposite side (4 cases), $\{B, E\}$ are on the same side and $\{A, C, D\}$ are on the opposite side (2 cases), and $\{A, B, C\}$ are on the same side and $\{D, E\}$ are on the opposite side (2 cases).

These cases give us the following theorem.

Theorem 5 The VC dimension of half guarding a monotone polygon is exactly 4.

4 Conclusions

We show the VC dimension exactly for several variants of half guarding in the art gallery problem. The VC dimension for half guarding a terrain is 2 or 3 depending on the assumption of whether or not guards and viewpoints can occupy the same space. The VC dimension for monotone polygons with half guards is exactly 4.

Open problem 6 What is the VC dimension of halfguarding other variants of the art gallery problem, for example: simple polygons, spiral polygons, orthogonal polygons, etc?

References

- Alexander Gilbers and Rolf Klein. A new upper bound for the VC-dimension of visibility regions, *Comput. Geom.* 47 (2014), 61–74.
- [2] Gibson, Matt, Krohn, Erik and Wang, Qing. The VCdimension of visibility on the boundary of monotone polygons, *Comput. Geom.* 77 (2019), 62–72.
- [3] Gibson, Matt, Krohn, Erik and Wang, Qing. The VC-Dimension of Visibility on the Boundary of a Simple Polygon, *ISAAC* 9472 (2015), 541–551.
- [4] James King. VC-Dimension of Visibility on Terrains, Proceedings of the 20th Annual Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry (2008)